

REMARKS

At the outset, Applicants wish to thank Examiner A. Piggush for the courtesies extended to Applicants' representatives during their telephonic interview on March 19, 2007. The objections to the drawings are moot in light of the Examiner's withdrawal of the objections during said interview.

Summary of the Office Action

In the Office Action, the drawings are objected to as failing to show the conventional names as described in the specification under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a).

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the features of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,525,511 to *Kubale et al.* ("*Kubale*") in view of JP-09285006 ("*JP '006*"), and further in view of JP-2002142375 ("*JP '375*").

Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Piggush for indicating allowable subject matter in claims 1-3. Applicants cancel claim 7 without prejudice or disclaimer, and amend independent claim 4 to include the features of canceled claim 7. Based on the following remarks, Applicants believe claims 1-6 are allowable.

Objection to the Drawings

The drawings were "objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) as failing to show the conventional names as described in the specification e.g., capacitor, grip part, contact arm, etc."

Applicants respectfully disagree. The features listed above in the Office Action are clearly shown in the drawings. The capacitor is shown as item 8 in Fig. 1 of the present invention. The grip part is shown as item 4, and the contact arm is shown as item 7 in Fig. 1 of the present invention. See page 3, lines 25-26 of the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that the drawings fully comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). Further, Examiner Piggush indicated that he does not agree with the previous objection to the drawings and removed the objection per the interview on March 19, 2007. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the objection to the drawings be removed.

All Subject Matter Complies with 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 4-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Kubale* in view of *JP '375*. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection for the following reasons.

Applicants amended independent claim 4 to include the allowable features of dependent claim 7. As such, Applicants respectfully assert that *prima facie* obviousness has not been met. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) should be withdrawn because *Kubale* and *JP '375* do not teach or suggest each and every feature of newly amended independent claim 4. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 4 is in condition for allowance.

Additionally, Applicants respectfully submit that dependent claims 5-6 are also allowable insofar as they recite the patentable combinations of features recited in claim 4, as well as reciting additional features that further distinguish over the applied prior art.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-6 are in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and the timely allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of the Response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

EXCEPT for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Dated: March 29, 2007

By: 

David E. Connor

Reg. No. 59,868

CUSTOMER NO.: 009629

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Tel: 202-739-3000

Fax: 202-739-3001